

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,905	04/06/2001	Mikio Iwase	088941/0193	6507	
22428 75	90 03/02/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER SUITE 500			KAZIMI,	KAZIMI, HANI M	
3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20007		3624		
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/02/2009	DATE MAILED: 03/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
V o		09/826,905	IWASE, MIKIO	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Hani Kazimi	3624	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the communication apport.	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	••
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			•	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	ugust 2004.		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowardlosed in accordance with the practice under <i>t</i>		·	ts is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-16</u> is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		•
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  Its have been received in a  Inity documents have been  Inity (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	· •
Attachmen				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/826,905 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on August 10,
 Claims 1, and 3-16 are pending. The objections and rejections cited are as stated below:

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 10 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim, or amend the claim to place the claim in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim in independent form.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. 103

- **3.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 148 USPQ 459, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or unobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1, and 3-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCarty et al. US Patent No. 5,946,660 (hereinafter "McCarty") in view of Elgamal US Patent No. 5,671,279.

Claims 1, and 3-16, McCarty discloses a method and a corresponding system for managing a payment between a seller and a buyer using a network, comprising the steps of, at a buyer terminal, accessing a home page of a seller through the network, inputting at the home page of said seller displayed on said buyer terminal. the name and identification data of said buyer into said buyer's terminal connected to said network, said identification data including a password of said buyer, verifying said buyer based on the input name and identification data using a seller's terminal connected to said network, said verifying including comparing said password of said buyer entered at said buyer terminal with password data stored in a payment management table installed at said seller terminal, displaying at said buyer terminal, for each contract between said buyer and seller a title, an mount due and a due date. said buyer authorizing at said buyer terminal payment as to each contract, sending pre-registered bank account information of said buyer, after said seller's terminal has verified said buyer, directly to a bank server of said buyer via said network using said buyers terminal, sending pre-registered bank account information of said seller and a payment amount, after said seller terminal has verified said buyer, directly to said bank server of said buyer via said network using said seller terminal, and transferring

the payment amount to said seller's bank account, which is indicated by said preregistered bank account information of said seller, directly from said buyer's bank
account, which is indicated by said pre-registered bank account information of said
buyer, using said bank server of said buyer (abstract, figs. 5,6, and 9, column 4,
lines 15-51, column 7, line 26 thru column 8, line 45, and column 10, line 10 thru
column 11, line 50).

McCarty teaches that the seller's updates said payment management table to reflect the payment status information when the payment amount is transferred to said seller's bank account from said buyer's account, the payment managing table is capable of storing data of a plurality of said buyer, and that the seller is a lesser, the buyer is a lessee, and the payment amount is a rent (abstract, column 10, lines 10-30).

McCarty fails to explicitly teach that the bank account information including an encoded PIN number of said buyer, and the transfer is taking place over a line separate from said network.

Elgamal teaches that the bank account information including an encoded PIN number of said buyer, and the transfer is taking place over a line separate from said network (column 3, line 65 thru column 5, line 32).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to modify the teachings of McCarty to include an encoded PIN number of the buyer, and the transfer is taking place over a line

separate from said network because, both systems are concerned with security and protecting the parties involved in the transactions. It greatly improves the efficiency of the system by providing a more secure electronic payment system.

McCarty fails to teach the steps of sending said buyer's terminal a reminder to request a payment for an overdue item by using said seller's terminal, and sending said buyer's terminal a confirmation to renew a payment contract if there is less than a predetermined period remaining until the expiry date of the contract by using said seller's terminal.

Official Notice is taken that sending a reminder to request an overdue payment and a confirmation to renew a contract prior to expiration is old and well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to modify the teachings of McCarty to include the steps of sending said buyer's terminal a reminder to request a payment for an overdue item by using said seller's terminal, and sending said buyer's terminal a confirmation to renew a payment contract if there is less than a predetermined period remaining until the expiry date of the contract by using said seller's terminal because, it provides the user with a system that is user friendly.

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The response to Applicant's arguments

with respect to the claims is mentioned above within the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of this office action.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hani Kazimi whose telephone number is (703) 305-1061. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached at (703) 308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 3600 or this Art Unit is (703) 305-7687 or 7658.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113 or 1114.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
Art Unit 3624

November 1, 2004